

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/565,129              | 08/07/2006                          | Mathias Rausch       | SC12838EM           | 7803             |
| 34814<br>LARSON NEV     | 7590 07/14/2010<br>VMAN & ABEL, LLP | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 5914 WEST C             | OURTYARD DRIVE                      | BAIG, ADNAN          |                     |                  |
| SUITE 200<br>AUSTIN, TX | 78730                               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|                         |                                     |                      | 2461                |                  |
|                         |                                     |                      |                     |                  |
|                         |                                     |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                         |                                     |                      | 07/14/2010          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/565,129      | RAUSCH ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |  |  |
| ADNAN BAIG      | 2461          |  |  |
|                 |               |  |  |

|  | ADNAN BAIG   | 2461   |                                     |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add                               | ress                                |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP   | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A   | LLOWANCE.  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Sign the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods:   | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi<br>eal (with appeal fee) in compliance<br>CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed   | t, or other evidence, w<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the<br>r (3) a Request |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>   |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I  | The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(  | n.   |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension side have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension side the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any amend patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b). |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL   |  | Florida - 140 to 6                               |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).   |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u>  |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a<br>NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |  | ected claims.                                    |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.   |  | mpliant Amendment (I                             | PTOL-324).                          |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)   | :  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claim(s).  |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is for will be) as follows:  |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:   |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  | theface and the date of filling his  |  | the entered                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea<br>y and was not earlier presented. Se  | al and/or appellant fail<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a<br>).                |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.<br>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br>See Continuation Sheet.  | t does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan                            | ce because:                         |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:  |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |
| /Huy D Vu/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2461   |  |  |                                     |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The reference of Le Scolan (Of Record) discloses communicating "cycle start signals" from the cycle master of the corresponding network in reference to the value offset corrected by the network cycle master. Thus, by notifying the plurality of nodes of a different start time as opposed to when the cycle master was not synchronized with the opposed network," as ign of the difference "is communicated to the plurality of computer nodes. Furthermore, Col. 12 lines 45-55, the cycle start signal is transmitted periodically, and serve as a "reference" for all the nodes located on the bus under consideration. Therefore, Le Scolan (Of Record) does disclose communicating a sign of the difference to the plurality of computer nodes.